

**CRITERIA FOR THE MID-TERM EVALUATION OF A DOCTORAL STUDENT
IN THE SCHOOL OF EXACT SCIENCES**

Discipline: Chemical Sciences

1. Detailed deadlines for the mid-term evaluation are determined by the directors of the doctoral schools operating within the relevant schools in consultation with the chairs of the discipline councils. These deadlines must be communicated to doctoral students by 28 February.
2. The mid-term evaluation is carried out by Evaluation Committees operating within the Doctoral School of the School of Exact Sciences, appointed by the Vice-Rector in consultation with the chair of the relevant discipline council. The discipline council selects the members of the Evaluation Committees from at least twice the number of applicants.
3. Evaluation Committees are appointed for one academic year.
4. The Evaluation Committee consists of 3 persons, including:
 - 1) one person holding a postdoctoral degree (*doktor habilitowany*) in the discipline or the title of professor in the field covering the discipline, in which the doctoral dissertation is prepared, employed outside Adam Mickiewicz University;
 - 2) two persons holding a postdoctoral degree (*doktor habilitowany*) in the discipline or the title of professor in the field covering the discipline, in which the doctoral dissertation is prepared, employed at Adam Mickiewicz University.
5. Supervisor or supervisors and the auxiliary supervisor of a doctoral student may not be members of the Evaluation Committee for the mid-term evaluation of a doctoral student under their supervision. A representative of the Doctoral Student Self-Government of Adam Mickiewicz University may attend meetings of the Evaluation Committee as an observer.
6. The mid-term evaluation - with reference to the Individual Research Plan presented by the doctoral student - focuses in particular on the following elements:
 - 1) progress in the preparation of the doctoral dissertation;
 - 2) research activity, including scientific publications, conference presentations and grant applications.
7. The Scientific Council of the discipline may specify the elements set out in section 6, particularly with regard to quantitative criteria.
8. Doctoral schools develop and make available specimen forms (templates) to help doctoral students prepare materials for the mid-term evaluation.
9. The Evaluation Committee conducts the mid-term evaluation on the basis of:
 - 1) a narrative summary prepared by the doctoral student;
 - 2) documentation confirming the progress of work on the doctoral dissertation;
 - 3) an interview with the doctoral student.
10. The Evaluation Committee takes minutes of the mid-term evaluation and all members of the Evaluation Committee sign them.

11. The mid-term evaluation may be positive or negative. The result of the evaluation and its justification are made public. A member of the Evaluation Committee has the right to submit a dissenting opinion and the reasons for it in writing.
12. The result of the mid-term evaluation is determined in a closed session, without the participation of the doctoral student. The decisions of the Evaluation Committee are taken by a simple majority of votes.
13. In the case of a positive mid-term evaluation, the Evaluation Committee conducting the mid-term evaluation may also give recommendations concerning the conduct of the doctoral student's research, the preparation of the dissertation and the supervisor's continued scientific supervision.

Discipline: Physical Sciences and Astronomy

1. Mid-term evaluation of doctoral students in the disciplines of physical sciences and astronomy is conducted in accordance with the regulations contained in the document "Principles for Conducting Mid-term Evaluation at the Doctoral School of Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań", published by the Vice-Rector in charge of the Doctoral School at Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań, hereinafter referred to as the Principles.
2. Pursuant to Article 7 of the Regulations, the Scientific Council of the disciplines of physical sciences and astronomy introduce specific criteria relating to the implementation of Article 6 of the Principles.
3. The Evaluation Committee verifies the achievement of the objectives listed in the part "Schedule of Implementation" of the document "Individual Research Plan", which were scheduled for the period until the mid-term evaluation. The evaluation of the status of achievement of the objectives takes into account the circumstances listed in the "Risk Analysis" section of the Research Plan.
4. The Evaluation Committee verifies the publication or acceptance for publication of the scientific publications foreseen before the mid-term evaluation in the section "Plan for preparation of scientific publications".
5. The Evaluation Committee verifies the completion of a scientific internship, presentation of a paper (poster presentation) at a scientific conference or submission of a grant application.
6. The mid-term evaluation ends with a negative result if upon examination of the criteria laid down in section 3 above a significant lack of progress in the implementation of the individual research plan has been revealed and the results of evaluation of the criteria laid down in sections 4 and 5 above do not provide sufficient compensation for this lack. In other situations, the evaluation ends with a positive result.

Discipline: Mathematics and Computer Science

The Scientific Council of the disciplines mathematics and computer science acting in accordance with Article 202 paragraph 2 of the Act of 20 July 2018 Law on Higher Education and Science and having regard to the Regulations of the Doctoral School of Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Chapter VII 519 paragraph 3 with regard to the method of the mid-term evaluation of doctoral students, states that the Evaluation Committees for the mid-term evaluation, appointed by the relevant Vice-Rector, should in their evaluation take into account the opinion of the supervisor of the doctoral dissertation concerning the implementation of the doctoral student's individual research plan.