

Detailed criteria for awarding points for scientific achievements in the recruitment procedure to the Doctoral School in the discipline of Biological sciences

1. Points awarded for the grade entered in the graduation diploma [first cycle studies* or second cycle studies*]:

Grade entered in the graduation diploma – second cycle studies or uniform master’s studies	Range	Points
	0-10 points ¹⁾	

Comments

¹⁾ Points 0-10 are awarded according to the following criterion

- Very good - 10 points;
- Good plus - 8 points;
- Good - 6 points;
- Satisfactory plus - 0 points;
- Satisfactory - 0 points

* 10 points for Diamond Grant / Pearl of Science

The maximum number of points cannot exceed 10.

2. Evaluation of the candidate’s scientific activity and achievements:

No	Activity	Range	Points awarded for each achievement			Total
			1	2	3	
1	Author/co-author of a publication in a journal from the so-called "Philadelphia list"	3-6 points ²⁾				
2	Author/co-author of a publication in an English-language peer-reviewed journal from outside the "Philadelphia list"	2-3 points ³⁾				
3	Author/co-author of a peer-reviewed publication in a language other than English	2-3 points ⁴⁾				
4	Documented active participation in scientific conferences	1-3 points ⁵⁾				
5	Participation in national and international exchange programs and other placements and internships	1-3 points ⁶⁾				
6	Participation in international or national grants	1-3 points ⁷⁾				
Total points for items 1-7						

Comments

- Each type of activity **must be confirmed** in the form of an appropriate attachment (e.g. pdf/scan of publication, scan of abstract from a conference book, certificate, appropriate internship/research training certificate, project manager's certificate of participation in the project, etc.).
- Candidate shall indicate max **three documented scientific achievements**.
 - Candidate may submit three different scientific activities from the same category (1 - 6) or three activities from different categories (1 - 6)

Points are awarded according to the following criteria:

2)

- Q1 publication - 6 points
- Q2 publication - 5 points
- Q3 publication - 4 points
- Q4 publication - 3 points

If the Candidate submits a publication from a journal listed in the Philadelphia list in which the Candidate is the sole author, regardless of the quartile, 6 points are awarded.

3) 4) Order in the list of authors:

- single-author - 3 points
- multi-author - 2 points

5) Participation in the conference:

- delivering a paper/presenting a poster at an international conference - 3 points
- presentation of paper/poster at a national conference - 2 points
- presenting a paper/poster at a university/student/scientific association conference, etc. - 1 point

6) Duration of the exchange/internship/internship

- more than 1 month - 3 points
- 1 month - 2 points
- less than 1 month - 1 point

7) Performance of research tasks in an international / national grant

- international (COST, Horizon, ERC) - 3 points (declaration of the project leader with the number and title of the project and description of the tasks performed by the candidate)
- national (NCN, NCBiR, NAVA) - 2 points (declaration of the project leader with the number and title of the project and description of the tasks performed by the candidate)
- other national - 1 point (declaration of the project leader together with the number and title of the project and description of the tasks performed by the candidate)

The maximum number of points cannot exceed 15.

3. Other documented activities of the candidate

No	Documented activity of the candidate on the basis of a maximum of three achievements	Points
1		
2		
3		
Total, points for items 1-3		

Comments

Comments

- each activity **must be confirmed** by an appropriate attachment;
- the candidate indicates for evaluation up to three documented other achievements complying with the criteria referred to in §10 of Resolution No. 181/2021/2022 on admission rules.

Points are awarded according to the following criteria:

- MNiSW / MEiN Minister's Scholarship - 4 points,
- Other academic scholarships - 3 points *,
- Organizational activity in a student scientific circle/club - 2 points,
- Research projects financed through competitions from the university/ PAN/PIB funds - 2 points*,
- Work in student government - 1 point
- Participation in activities popularizing scientific knowledge - 1 point
- Prizes or awards - 1 point,
- Other documented activity of the candidate - 1 point

* in case of other sources than Adam Mickiewicz University, it is necessary to enclose relevant regulations

The maximum number of points cannot exceed 5.

4. Evaluation of the candidate's research project

No	Evaluation	Range	Points
1	Ability to formulate the research objective and outline the research problem	0-5 points	
2	Research idea and ability to propose a solution	0-5 points	
3	Methodology appropriate to the indicated discipline	0-5 points	
4	Knowledge of the state of the art in research, with basic bibliography	0-5 points	
Total points for items 1-4			

Comments

The maximum number of points cannot exceed 20.

It is planned to award up to 5 points for each of the above mentioned elements included in the research project proposal. Each member of the selection panel will make their own expert evaluation; the average of the five grades (with the accuracy of 0.1) constitutes the final grade for the research project.

5. Grade score obtained during the candidate's interview

No	Evaluation	Range	Points
1	Candidate's knowledge relevant to the planned research and appropriate for the indicated scientific discipline	0-25 points ¹⁾	
2	Elements of the research methodology appropriate to the indicated discipline	0-25 points ²⁾	
Total points for items 1-2			

Comments

The interview lasts 30 minutes, of which

- a) 5 minutes are allocated for the candidate's self-presentation (e.g. scientific interests, motivation for scientific work, etc.)
 - b) 10 minutes for the presentation of the candidate's research project (it may be presented in the form of a multimedia presentation, e.g. Power Point)
 - c) 15 minutes for the candidate's answers allowing for the assessment of knowledge, competence and knowledge of research methodology for the implementation of the dissertation project.
- The interview of a foreign candidate who does not speak Polish is conducted in English
 - Each member of the selection panel asks the candidate one question
 - The members of the selection panel make an expert evaluation of the candidate's speech

¹⁾ The evaluation is based on whether the candidate can convincingly present his/her scientific interests and motivation for scientific work, i.e. indicate, against the background of his/her previous education and achievements, why he/she wishes to undertake studies at the Doctoral School. The completeness and content of the candidate's statement of research interests are also evaluated.

²⁾ The evaluation is based on the completeness and content of the statement on the dissertation project. The candidate will be evaluated on the responses to questions put by members of the selection panel and on the discussion skills. The highest grades will be given to those statements in which the candidate demonstrates knowledge of the subject of the dissertation project, presents clearly the proposed approach to solving the research problem, including the choice of research methods and the anticipated achievements of the project.

The maximum number of points cannot exceed 50.

Each member of the selection panel will make their own evaluation of the candidate's response; the average of the five grades (with an accuracy of 0.1) constitutes the final grade for the interview.