

**CRITERIA FOR THE MID-TERM EVALUATION OF A DOCTORAL STUDENT  
IN THE SCHOOL OF NATURAL SCIENCES**

**Discipline: Biological Sciences**

Pursuant to §20 section 3 of the Regulations of the Doctoral School of Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań (Resolution No. 293/2018/2019 of the Senate of Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań of 27 May 2019 on the Regulations of the Doctoral School of Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań and Resolution No. 421/2019/2020 of the Senate of Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań of 27 April 2020 on amendments to the Regulations of the Doctoral School), it is resolved as follows:

§1

The mid-term evaluation of the progress of the individual research plan of a doctoral student includes in particular the following elements - criteria:

1. progress in the preparation of the doctoral dissertation;
2. research activity, including scientific publications, conference presentations and grant applications;

§2

The Council waives the specification of the above elements in terms of quantitative criteria.

§ 3

The mid-term evaluation conducted by the Evaluation Committee is based on:

1. a narrative summary prepared by the doctoral student;
2. documentation confirming the activity of the doctoral student and the opinion of the supervisor or supervisors;
3. an interview with the doctoral student.

## **Discipline: Earth and Related Environmental Sciences**

Pursuant to §20 section 3 of the Regulations of the Doctoral School of Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań (Resolution No. 293/2018/2019 of the Senate of Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań of 27 May 2019 on the Regulations of the Doctoral School of Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań and Resolution No. 421/2019/2020 of the Senate of Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań of 27 April 2020 on amendments to the Regulations of the Doctoral School), it is resolved as follows:

### §1

The mid-term evaluation of the progress of the individual research plan of a doctoral student includes in particular the following elements - criteria:

1. progress in work on the preparation of the doctoral dissertation;
2. research activity, including scientific publications, conference presentations and grant applications;

### §2

The Council waives the specification of the above elements in terms of quantitative criteria.

### § 3

The mid-term evaluation conducted by the Evaluation Committee is based on:

1. a narrative summary prepared by the doctoral student;
2. documentation confirming the activity of the doctoral student and the opinion of the supervisor or supervisors;
3. an interview with the doctoral student.